



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/743,568	12/22/2003	Isador H. Lieberman	L29-6224NP	7997
7:	590 12/16/2004		EXAMINER	
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P.			GRANT, ALVIN J	
SUITE 1111 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3723		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/743,568	LIEBERMAN, ISADOR H.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin J Grant	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	eptember 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the order of the order or declaration is objected to by the Example 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/743,568

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "said at least one handle" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sweatt '391 in view of Marceca '279.

Sweatt discloses an apparatus for removing a cork from inside a mouth of a bottle, the apparatus comprising: at least one member for engaging the outside of the mouth of the bottle, at least one handle connected to the at least one member, and a shaft operatively coupled with the at least one handle so that movement of the at least one handle member rotates the shaft; the bottle has an

oversized mouth and the cork is correspondingly sized, the at least one member being adapted to mate with the oversized mouth of the bottle; at least one member comprises a lever pivotally attached to the at least one handle; the shaft is pivotally attached to the at least one handle; and a frame and a support member connected by an axially extending rod, the support member being movable relative to the frame, the shaft being mounted to and projecting from the support member; the frame and the support member connected by an axially extending rod, the support member being movable to the frame, the shaft being mounted to and projecting from the support member. Sweatt does not specifically disclose a shaft having at least two helical spikes projecting therefrom. Marceca discloses a corkscrew wherein the shaft has two helical spikes projecting therefrom so as to dissipate the force exerted on the cork while it is being extracted. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the corkscrew of Sweatt to have two helical spikes projecting from an end portion of the shaft as taught by Marceca so as to dissipate the force exerted on the cork while it is being extracted.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/20/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. In response to Applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the Examiner recognizes that references cannot be

arbitrarily combined and that there must be some reason why one skilled in the art would be motivated to make the proposed combination of primary and secondary references. In re Nomiya, 184 USPQ 607 (CCPA 1975). However, there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is what the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re McLaughlin, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA) 1969. In this case combining the two helical spikes of the Marceca apparatus with the Sweatt apparatus would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

7. In response to Applicant's arguments that no source was sited for the statement that the two helical spikes would dissipate the force exerted on the cork while being extracted. Applicant is requested to provide evidence as to why a constant force provided by one spike in one location in the cork would not be dissipated substantially equally between two spikes, connected together and, located in two separate locations in the cork when the two spikes are substituted for the one spike.

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Grant whose telephone number is (703) 305-3315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

LEED.WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ajg

/LEE'D. WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

FEED MIRSON